CH Wol (3)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF C.G. AT BILASPUR.

W.P.No. 3565 .2003

petitioner : A.R. Bagade aged 61 years,
S/o Late Anant Ram Bagade,
Retired Dy.Superintendent of Police,
Distt. Police Office Durg,.
present Address: 34/14 Motilal Nehrunagar (West
Phone - 2357826,
Tahsil and Distt. DURG (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents :

1. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh
   Through - The Secretary,
   Home Deptt. D.K.S. Bhawan
   Raipur.

2. Director General of Police
   Police H.Q. Chhattisgarh
   Raipur (C.G.).

3. State Govt. of Madhya Pradesh
   Through - The Secretary (Home)
   VallabhBhawan, BHOPAL.

4. Director General of Police
   Police Head Quarter,
   BHOPAL.

5. Senior Superintendent of Police
   Distt. Police Office, DURG (C.G.)

6. Sanjay Shukla
   Additional Superintendent of Police,
   Bilaspur.

7. Shri K.K. Nagwansi,
   Deputy Superintendent of Police,
   C/o Police Head Quarter,
   BHOPAL.

P.R. No. 3369/03
Presented by Shri R.S. Thakur
dated 30.10.03.

30.10. Bilaspur

OATH COMMISSIONER DURG (M.P.)

contd...2

(4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

(72)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3565/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	11.02.2004 Shri R.S. Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate, for the State. The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India on the ground that the person junior to him i.e. Respondent No.6 was promoted to the post of Additional Superintendent of Police on 23.05.2001 ignoring the claim of the petitioner and respondent No.7, who was also junior to him was given revised pay scale, whereas the petitioner was not considered for the same. He made several representations to this effect but to no avail. Therefore, the respondent No.1 may be directed to give the promotion to the petitioner from the date when respondent No.6 was given and to grant revised pay scale of Rs.10,000/- and thereafter the pay-scale of Rs.12,650/- Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made several representations but that were not considered by the respondent authorities. Therefore, he submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(73)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 3565 सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

representation to the respondents, to which learned State counsel has no objection.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances within ten days from today to respondent No.2 and in turn, respondent No.2 after considering all the grievances of the petitioner shall decide the representation within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the same in an objective manner in accordance with law.

With the above direction, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, I.A. No.10,221/2003 for urgent hearing also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per Rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Roshan (r

[पीछे देखिये